

Effect of Cabergoline added to Metformin on Glycemic Control, Insulin Resistance and Beta Cell Function in Obese type 2 Diabetic Patients

Hayder Ch. Assad¹

¹ Al Kufa university/college of pharmacy

Received: 15 December 2013 Accepted: 4 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

Abstract

The aim of this study is to examine the effect of cabergoline added to metformin on glycemic control, insulin resistance and B-cell function in obese type 2 diabetic patients. Forty obese patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes were enrolled in this study and randomized by 1:1 ratio into group (I) receives metformin and group (II) receives metformin plus cabergoline for 12 week. We evaluated fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and postprandial plasma glucose (PPG) every 4 week while body weight, glycosylated hemoglobin, fasting plasma insulin, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and B-cell function (HOMA-B) at the baseline and after 12 week. At the end of the study, Cabergoline plus metformin significantly improved FPG, PPG and HOMA-IR more than metformin alone. Beta-cell functions significantly improved by cabergoline plus metformin but not by metformin alone after 12 week compared with baseline. We can conclude that cabergoline added to metformin improved glycemic control and insulin resistance better than metformin alone.

Index terms— cabergoline, glycemic control, insulin resistance, beta-cell function, obesity, type 2 diabetes

1 Introduction

type 2 diabetes is a complex heterogeneous metabolic disorder of glucose homeostasis characterized by insulin resistance and impaired B-cell function, as well as dysfunction in multiple other organs or tissues 1 . There is strong association between obesity and T2D development 2 . The incidences of T2D have tripled over the past 30 years mainly because of the global prevalence of obesity 3 . Although insulin resistance and B-cell dysfunction represent the core defect in pathophysiology of T2D, the Ominous Octet theory of de Fronzo implicates multiple abnormalities in T2D ?? . The brain, as seat of cerebral insulin resistance and neurotransmitter dysfunction, is described as eighth pathophysiologic factor in this theory 4 . Plethora of evidence indicated that reduced dopaminergic neurotransmission in the hypothalamus and subsequently enhanced noradrenergic activities in the ventromedial hypothalamic nuclei are directly and causally involved in obesity and Insulin resistance 5 .

It is fact that obesity and T2D appear to be important side effects of dopamine D2 receptor blocker ?? . Additionally obese individuals have significantly lower D2/D3 receptor levels, which make them less sensitive to reward stimuli and put them at risk for overeating ?? . Chronic over nutrition can trigger Hypothalamic neuroinflammation and stressors like ER stress which impaired insulin signaling in the CNS, central insulin resistance, leads to hyperphagia, weight gain and consequently to hyperinsulinemia as well as hyperglycemia ??, ?? . Because of the complex and multifactorial pathogenesis, it is difficult to restore normoglycemia and unlikely to achieve glycemic target by single antidiabetic agent. Therefore there is continuous need to develop new antidiabetic agents that have different mechanism of action targeting known pathogenic abnormalities and can be used in combination to produce an additive effect 4 . Timed release bromocriptine is the first centrally acting dopamine agonist used for the treatment of T2D as monotherapy and combination with metformin 10 .

7 DISCUSSION A) EFFECT OF CABERGOLINE ON GLYCEMIC CONTROL

Cabergoline is a centrally acting dopamine agonist with high specificity for dopamine D2 receptors and binding affinity lasting up to 72 hours. It is more effective, better tolerated and four times more potent than bromocriptine [1]. Some clinical studies reported direct beneficial metabolic effects of cabergoline on glucose level, insulin resistance and inflammation [12,13]. Therefore this study performed to examine the effect of cabergoline added to metformin on glycemic control, insulin resistance and B-cell function in patients with obesity and T2D.

2 II.

3 Patient and methods

4 a) Study design

The present study is prospective randomized control clinical trial. The study is conducted from March to December /2013 in Obesity Research and Therapy Centre /Al Kindi College of medicine and in Al kindi Specialized Center for Endocrinology and Diabetes in Baghdad. This study is approved by Institutional Ethics Committee. Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and post prandial plasma glucose (PPG) level were measured every four week during the treatment period while HbA1c, fasting insulin and HOMA-IR and HOMA-B, were measured at baseline and after 12 weeks. b) Patients and study group Forty patients were recruited and enrolled in this study. The included patients were men and women with BMI ($\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and with newly diagnosed of T2D according to ADA guidelines criteria [2]. Patients excluded from the study were: (1) Patients on oral hypoglycemic agent or insulin; (2) patient with impaired renal or hepatic function; (3) Pregnancy or breastfeeding; (4) Patients with chronic cardiovascular or inflammatory diseases (5) hypersensitivity to ergot derivatives. The patient randomized by 1:1 ratio into two group: Group (I) treated with Metformin 500-850mg three time daily (N=20) and Group (II) treated with metformin 500-850mg three time daily and cabergoline 0.5mg twice weekly (N=20). The treatment and follow up period was 12 week. All patients were advised for standard dietary therapy and life style modifications.

5 c) Measurements

Height and weight were obtained using a standard stadiometer and electronic scale, respectively. Body mass index was calculated using the standard formula, weight (kg)/height (m)². Plasma glucose was assayed by glucose-oxidase method (Cromatest Linear Chemicals S.L Spain). Glycosylated hemoglobin level was measured by a high performance liquid chromatography (Bio-Rad VARIANT TM, USA). Insulin was measured ELISA (Demeditec Diagnostics GmbH, evaluated by the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) method which has been suggested as a method to assess insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and β cell function (HOMA- β) from the fasting glucose and insulin concentration according to the following formula [14]

6 Result a)

Out of the total enrolled patients, 8 patients did not complete the study due to many reasons noncompliance (1), lost to follow up (4), start oral hypoglycemic agent (2) and develop adverse event (1). The remaining 32 patient (17 patients in metformin treated group and 15 patients metformin plus cabergoline treated group). The demographic and baseline clinical characters were not different between the two. Table (1 Highly significant ($P < 0.001$) decrease was observed in the two groups after 12 weeks compared to baseline. However, the reduction in HbA1c was not statistically significant between them. Interestingly, the percentage of patients achieving HbA1c $< 7.0\%$ was 60% by adding cabergoline to metformin vs 41 % by metformin alone. The decrease in fasting insulin level was significant in metformin group ($P < 0.05$) and highly significant in metformin plus cabergoline after 12 week ($P < 0.001$) compared to the baseline however there was no significant differences between the two group. HOMA-IR decreased significantly in both group after 12 week compared with the baseline ($P < 0.001$). The change in HOMA-IR was significantly greater in metformin plus cabergoline compared with metformin group ($P < 0.05$). HOMA-B% significantly increased by adding cabergoline with metformin ($P < 0.05$) but not by metformin alone ($P > 0.05$) after 12 weeks compared with the baseline However the change in HOMA-B% between the two group was not significant. IV.

7 Discussion a) Effect of cabergoline on glycemic control

This is the first study that examined the effect of cabergoline on glycemic control in treatment naïve T2D with obesity. This study demonstrated a beneficial effect of cabergoline in reducing the hyperglycemia in patient with obesity and newly onset diabetes because add on therapy of cabergoline with metformin improved FPG after 12 week and PPG after 8 and 12 week to significantly greater degree than metformin alone. Although the decrease in HbA1c was higher by adding cabergoline to metformin than metformin (1.22 ± 0.14 and -0.9 ± 0.16 respectively), the difference between them was not significant which might be attributed to the slow effect of cabergoline in achieving glycemic control and the short period of the study. However the percentage of patient reaching to target HbA1c $< 7.0\%$ was 60% by taking cabergoline along with metformin vs 41 % by metformin monotherapy. At the present time, there is only one published clinical study demonstrated the effect of cabergoline on glycemic control in T2D in which 3 month cabergoline treatment reduced both FPG and PPG as well as caused 0.45-1.11 reduction

in HbA1c in patient with failure to oral antidiabetic agent 15 . Also 16 week cabergoline treatment decreased PPG overtime in healthy obese 12 . Similarly, short term bromocriptine treatment 2.5mg BID significantly reduce FPG and diurnal glucose concentration in obese women 16 . Interestingly, The HbA1c level of a ten patient with acromegaly decreased significantly in the six diabetic patients (from 8.4 % to 6.7 %) compared to no significant reduction of the four non diabetics after 16 week of cabergoline therapy 17 . Furthermore, cabergoline treatment improved glycemic tolerance and decreased degree of reduction in prolactin levels 18 . Most recently, cabergoline was superior to bromocriptine in reducing 2hr post-challenge plasma glucose despite a similar reduction in plasma prolactin levels 19 . More over the findings of the present study are in fundamental agreement with responses of centrally acting dopamine agonist, bromocriptine, obtained in T2D 10, 20 . More recently, the combination of bromocriptine with metformin significantly decreased FPG, PPG, and HbA1c compared with metformin alone in T2D 21 . The mechanism by which dopamine agonist therapy improve glycemic control can be explained by 1. Activation of dopamine receptor D1& D2 in the hypothalamus normalizes multiple hypothalamic neurophysiological derangements through enhancing hypothalamic dopaminergic tone and consequently preventing ventromedial hypothalamic noradrenergic and serotonergic over activity, as well as reverting elevated paraventricular hypothalamic neuropeptide Y and corticotrophin-releasing in obese T2D, thus improving peripheral glucose disposal and insulin resistance as well as suppressing of hepatic glucose production 22, 23 . 2. Regulation food intake by modulating food reward and motivation via the meso-limbic circuitry of the brain, thus suppressing hunger and improving satiation and satiety 7. 3. Activation D2 receptors present on pancreatic beta cells lead to increase the islet insulin content and restores the link between glucose sensing and insulin secretion, thus improving beta cell response to hyperglycemia 24 .

8 b) Effect of cabergoline on insulin resistance and beta cell function

The relationship between insulin resistance and beta cell dysfunction is dynamic and largely dependent on the metabolic state that is primarily determined by glycemic status and consequently insulinemic status 25 .The Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA) has been considered as a robust clinical tool for the assessment of insulin resistance and has been reported in > 500 publications 26 . Therefore the present study used this model to assess insulin resistance and B-cell function.

Cabergoline therapy profoundly improved the metabolic abnormalities; such as Obesity, hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance and glucose intolerance associated with hyperprolactinemia mainly dependent from the changes in BMI and normalization of prolactin level. Several Recent studies demonstrated a significant reduction in fasting insulin and HOMA-IR 13,18 as well as a significant improvement in insulin sensitivity index assessed by both ISI Matsuda and clamp 27,28 . Furthermore, Gibson et al demonstrated tendency towards stabilization or improvement in treatment in health obese person 12 . Moreover, two week of Bromocriptine treatment reduced fasting plasma insulin level by 35.0% and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) by 38% and also considered as unique postprandial insulin Sensitizer 29 . All these findings are suggesting a direct beneficial effect of dopamine agonist on insulin resistance. The results of present study further supported this effect of cabergoline because the reductions in fasting insulin and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) were higher by taking cabergoline with metformin than metformin alone.

Basal hyperinsulinemia associated with obesity and T2D, generates and sustains insulin resistance in all tissue having insulin receptor including pancreatic B-cell and the brain by several mechanisms, reduction in number of insulin receptor, serine phosphorylation of IRS-1 and elevated level of inflammatory markers, including cytokines and C-reactive protein 30,31 . Endogenous dopamine regulates insulin release by acting D2 receptors expressed on pancreatic B-cell 32 . It was found that the administration of neuroleptic drugs, D2R-blocker, causes hyperinsulinemia in normal subjects 33 . Thus activation of D2R on islet Beta-cells by dopamine agonist result in inhibition of insulin secretion 34 . Counterintuitively, the ability of dopamine agonist to suppress insulin secretion might be at the basis of its beneficial effect on glucose homeostasis by preventing long-lasting hyperinsulinemia and therefore prevent subsequent development of insulin resistance and beta cell failure 35 . Pancreatic B-cell dysfunction associated with the obesity and insulin-resistant state is characterized by an increased basal insulin secretory rate and a blunted GSIS. Preclinical studies have suggested that treatment with dopamine agonist normalizes basal insulin secretory rate and GSIS and increases the islet insulin content thus improving pancreatic beta cell function 24,34 . The mechanism by which dopaminergic therapy improves islet function in the obese diabetic condition may involve improving B-cell glucokinase (GK), an integral modulator of GSIS, and/or GLUT2 as well as enhancing insulin storage and/or retention, and stabilizing B-cell hyperplasia, thus reducing basal insulin levels 36 . In the present study, interestingly, the combination of cabergoline with metformin significantly improved HOMA-B after 12 week compared with baseline but not by metformin alone. Currently, only two clinical studies in which cabergoline effect on HOMA-B was evaluated. Cabergoline did not show significant effect on HOMA-B in patient with Cushing syndrome 37 while HOMA-B was significantly improved after 24 month of cabergoline treatment compared to baseline in patient with hyperprolactinoma 38 . In contrast to HOMA-IR, it is controversial whether HOMA-B is an accurately reflected pancreatic beta-cell function 39 . In HbA1c in patients with prolactinoma regardless of the HOMA-IR and insulin AUC after 16 week of cabergoline cell dysfunction is

longer model and hence the use of HOMA-B associated with some limitations 25 . Therefore the period of the present study is a major limitation to accurately assess the effect on beta cell function.
V.

9 Conclusion

The Authors would like to appreciate department of pharmacology Al Nahrain College of medicine for help and support to finish this work. We are thankful to the Obesity Research & Therapy centre and Diabetic centre of AL Kindi for providing facilities to achieve this work.



Figure 1:

1

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Germany). | Insulin resistance and β -cell function were | |
| Parameters | Gender(M/F) Age(years) WT(Kg) Height (m) | Metformin+ |
| BMI(kg/m ²) FPG(mg/dl) PPG(mg/dl) HbA1c Fasting | | Cabergoline (n=15) |
| Insulin(mU/ml) HOMA-IR HOMA-B% b) Effect body | | (5/10) 47.6 \pm 2.8 |
| weight and BMI Both group demonstrated a significant | | 101 \pm 3.0 1.65 \pm 0.2 |
| Metformin (n=17) (6/11) 44.35 \pm 2.5 99.6 \pm 2.4 1.66 \pm 0.3 | | 36.8 \pm 0.61 165.5 \pm 5.4 |
| 36.5 \pm 0.89 161.5 \pm 4.7 205.3 \pm 6.9 7.95 \pm 0.29 16.76 \pm 1.3 | | 211.1 \pm 6.4 8.39 \pm 0.34 |
| 6.8 \pm 0.67 62.4 \pm 4.3 decrease in the Year 2014 () | | 17.8 \pm 1.6 7.4 \pm 0.9 |
| | | 63.3 \pm 5.2 Patient's characteristics |

[Note: B© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 2: Table 1 :

¹© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US) for the estimation of IR than β -cell function because B-general HOMA model is used 20 times more frequently VI.

²© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

2

| Parameters | Time | baseline Metformin | Metformin+ cabergo- line |
|--|----------|-----------------------|--|
| WT | Baseline | 99.6±2.4 | 101±3.0 |
| | 12week | 95.5±2.3* | 95.4±3.1* |
| | Change | 4.1±1.1 | 5.6±1.5 |
| BMI | Baseline | 36.5±0.89 | 36.8±0.61 |
| | 12week | 35.0±0.72* | 34.9±0.78* |
| | Change | -1.5±0.47 | -1.9±0.54 |
| c) Effect of study treatment on glycemic parameters (FPG &PPG) | | | reduction in FPG was significantly greater in metformin plus cabergoline than metformin alone at 12 weeks. |
| Both group significantly improved FPG and PPG over time compared with baseline Table(3). The | | | Metformin plus cabergoline reduced PPG significantly greater than metformin alone at week 8 and 12. |

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

| Parameters | Time | Metformin | Metformin+ cabergoline |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| FPG (mg/dl) | 0week | 161.5±4.7 | 165.5±5.4 |
| | 4week 8week | 147.7±5.4* 142.1±3.7** | 145.7±6.9** 138.5±5.0** |
| | 12week | 137.4±5.9** | 129.7±4.5** |
| PPG (mg/dl) | 0week | 205.3±6.9 | 211.1±6.4 |
| | 4week 8week | 183.4±7.0* 174.4±6.2* | 187.6±6.5** 171.5±5.4** |
| | 12week | 169.8±4.8** | 160.8±4.6** |
| -13.9 | | | |
| -19.4 | - | | |
| | 19.3 | | |
| | -24.2 | | |
| | -26.8 | | |
| -35 | -35.7 | | |
| -40 | | | |
| -45 | | | |

Figure 4: Table 3 :

4

| Parameters | Time | Metformin | Metformin+ caber- goline |
|---|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| HbA1c | Baseline | 7.95±0.29 | 8.39±0.34 |
| | 12week | 7.05±0.23** | 7.17±0.29** |
| | Change | -0.9±0.16 | -1.22±0.14 |
| e) Effect of the study treatment on fasting insulin level, HOMA-IR and HOMA-B | | | |

Figure 5: Table 4 :

5

| Parameter | Time | Metformin | | Metformin+ cabergoline | |
|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------------------|--------------|
| s | | | | | |
| Insulin | Baseline | 12week | 16.76±1.3 | 14.47±1.8* | 17.8±1.6 |
| mU/ml | | | | | 13.9±1.3** |
| | Change | | 2.3±0.95 | | 3.9±0.52 |
| | Baseline | | 6.8±0.67 | | 7.4±0.9 |
| HOMA-IR | 12week | | 5.2±0.79** | | 4.5±0.48** |
| | Change | | -1.6 ±0.35 | | -2.88±0.45 ? |
| | Baseline | | 62.±4.3 | | 63.3±5.2 |
| HOMA-B | 12week | | 69.1±5.7 | | 78.5±7.8* |
| | Change | | 7.1±4.5 | | 15.2±5.4 |

Figure 6: Table 5 :

.1 This page is intentionally left blank

[Cabergoline Effect on Blood Sugar in Type] , *Cabergoline Effect on Blood Sugar in Type 2*.

[Bina and Cincotta ()] , K G Bina , A H Cincotta . 2000. Dopaminergic.

[Anan et al. ()] , F Anan , N Takahashi , M Nakagawa . 2005. 54 p. .

[Gibson et al. ()] , C D Gibson , W Karmally , D J McMahon . 2012.

[Taghavi et al. ()] , S M Taghavi , S S Fatemi , H Rokni . 2012.

[Ustione et al. ()] ‘A Mini review: Dopaminergic regulation of Insulin Secretion from the Pancreatic Islet’. A Ustione , D Piston , P Harris . *MolEndocrinol* 2013. 27 (8) p. .

[Kok et al. ()] ‘Activation of dopamine D2 receptors simultaneously ameliorates various metabolic features of obese women’. P Kok , F Roelfsema , M Frolich . *AJP-Endocrinol Metab* 2006. 291.

[Turner et al. ()] ‘Application of structural model of glucoseinsulin relations to assess beta-cell function and insulin sensitivity’. R C Turner , A S Rudenski , D R Matthews . *HormMetab Res* 1990. 24 p. . (Suppl)

[Silva et al. ()] ‘BMI and Metabolic Profile in Patients with Prolactinoma before and After Treatment with Dopamine Agonists’. Dos Santos Silva , C M Barbosa , F R Lima , GA . *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2011. 19 p. .

[Cincotta et al. ()] ‘Bromocriptine improves glycaemic control and serum lipid profile in obese Type 2 diabetic subjects: A new approach in the treatment of diabetes’. A H Cincotta , A H Meier , M J Cincotta . *Expert OpinInvestig Drugs* 1999. 8 p. .

[Muniyappa et al. ()] ‘Current approaches for assessing insulin sensitivity and resistance in vivo: advantages, limitations, and appropriate usage’. R Muniyappa , S Lee , H Chen . *Am J PhysiolEndocrinolMetab* 2008. 294 p. .

[Diabetic Patients with Oral Agent Failure Med J Malaysia] ‘Diabetic Patients with Oral Agent Failure’. *Med J Malaysia* 67 (4) p. .

[Rand Okopien ()] ‘Different Effects of Cabergoline and Bromocriptine on Metabolic and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Patients with Elevated Prolactin Levels’. Krysiak Rand Okopien , B . *Basic ClinPharmacol Toxicol* 2014. 13 (8) .

[Garcia-Tornado et al. ()] ‘Disruption of TEJ dopamine D2 receptor impairs insulin secretion and glucose intolerance’. I Garcia-Tornado , A M Ornstein , A Chamson-Reig . *Endocrinology* 2010. 151 (4) p. .

[Liang et al. ()] ‘Dopamine agonist treatment ameliorates hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia, and the elevated basal insulin release from islets of ob/ob mice’. Y Liang , M Lubkin , H Sheng . *BiochimBiophysActa* 1998. 1405 p. .

[Inancli et al. ()] ‘Effect of cabergoline on insulin sensitivity, inflammation, and carotid intima media thickness in patients with prolactinoma’. S S Inancli , A Usluogullari , Ustu . *Endocrine* 2013. 44 p. .

[Galdiero et al. ()] ‘Effect of chronic treatment with cabergoline and testosterone replacement on metabolic parameters in male patients with prolactinomas and hypogonadism’. M Galdiero , R Auriemma , P Vitale . *Endocrine Abstracts* 2014. 35 p. P865.

[Ghosh et al. ()] ‘Efficacy and safety of add on therapy of bromocriptine with metformin in Indian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A randomized open labeled phase IV clinical trial’. A Ghosh , N Sengupta , P Sahana . *Indian J Pharmacol* 2014. 46 (1) p. .

[Ciresi et al. ()] ‘Higher doses of cabergoline further improve metabolic parameters in patients with prolactinoma regardless of the degree of reduction in prolactin levels’. A Ciresi , M Amato , V Guarnotta , Etal . *Clinical Endocrinology* 2013. 79 p. .

[Sowell et al. ()] ‘Hyperglycemic clamp assessment of insulin secretory responses in normal subjects treated with olanzapine, risperidone, or placebo’. M O Sowell , N Mukhopadhyay , P Cavazzoni . *J ClinEndocrinolMetab* 2002. 87 p. .

[Corkey ()] ‘Hyperinsulinemia: Cause or Consequence?’. B E Corkey . *Diabetes* 2012. 61 (1) p. .

[Berinder et al. ()] ‘Insulin sensitivity and lipid profile in prolactinoma patients before and after normalization of prolactin by dopamine agonist therapy’. K Berinder , T Nystrom , C Hoybye . *Pituitary* 2011. 14 (3) p. .

[Randomized Pilot Study of Cabergoline, a Dopamine Receptor Agonist: Effects on Body Weight and Glucose Tolerance in Obese] *Randomized Pilot Study of Cabergoline, a Dopamine Receptor Agonist: Effects on Body Weight and Glucose Tolerance in Obese Adults Diabetes Obes Metab*, 2012. 14 p. .

[Jetton et al. ()] ‘Systemic Treatment With Sympatholytic Dopamine Agonists Improves Aberrant B-Cell Hyperplasia and GLUT2, Glucokinase, and Insulin Immunoreactive Levels in ob/ob Mice’. L T Jetton , Y Liang , A H Cincotta . *Pediatr Diabetes* 2001. 9 (3) p. . (Metabolism)

[The combination of cabergoline with metformin significantly improved glycemic control and insulin resistance better than metformin alone in patient with obesity and diabetes. Also the combination might have beneficial protective effect on B-cell of pancreas,

9 CONCLUSION

- 223 [Merican et al. ()] ‘The Effects of Short Term Cabergoline Therapy on Disease Activity and Metabolic Parame-
224 ters in Acromegaly’. N Merican , N Sukor , A Razali . *Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2013. 3 (1)
225 p. .
- 226 [Brown et al. ()] ‘The Medical Treatment of Cushing’s disease: Effectiveness of Chronic Treatment with the
227 Dopamine Agonist Cabergoline in Patients Unsuccessfully Treated by Surgery’. R J Brown , K I Rother , R
228 Pivonello , De Martino , C Cappabianca , P . *J ClinEndocri nol Metab* 2008. 2009. 94 (1) p. . (Effects of
229 beta-cell rest on beta-cell function: a review of clinical and 37)
- 230 [Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Diabetes Care] ‘Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes’. *Diabetes Care* 4 (34) .
- 231 [Wallace et al. ()] ‘Use and abuse of HOMA modeling’. T M Wallace , J C Levy , D R Matthews . *Diabetes Care*
232 2004. 27 p. .
- 233 [Sung et al. ()] ‘Utility of Homeostasis Model Assessment of B-Cell Function in Predicting Diabetes in 12,924
234 Healthy Koreans’. K C Sung , G M Reaven , Kim Sh . *Diabetes Care* 2010. 33 p. .
- 235 [weight gain, and hyperglycemia in ob/ob mice Bromocriptine: A Sympatholytic, D2-Dopamine Agonist for the ()]
236 ‘weight gain, and hyperglycemia in ob/ob mice’. *Bromocriptine: A Sympatholytic, D2-Dopamine Agonist for*
237 *the*, 2011. 71 p. . (DeFronzo RA)
- 238 [Ezrokhi et al. ()] ‘Weighted effects of bromocriptine treatment on glucose homeostasis during hyperglycemic
239 versus euglycemic clamp conditions in insulin resistant hamsters: bromocriptine as a unique postprandial
240 insulin Sensitizer’. M Ezrokhi , L Luo , A Cincotta . S:2. *J Diabetes Metab* 2012.