

1 Comparative Antimicrobial Activity of Ethanol and Hexane Leaf 2 Extracts of Ficus Exasperata on Five Microbial Isolates

3 Godwill Azeh Engwa

4 *Received: 14 April 2015 Accepted: 1 May 2015 Published: 15 May 2015*

5

6 **Abstract**

7 This study evaluated the antimicrobial activity of *Ficus exasperata* and compared the efficacy
8 of ethanol and hexane extracts on five microbial isolates. To ascertain the set objective, after
9 extraction with ethanol and hexane, the disk and well diffusion agar methods were employed
10 to investigate the antimicrobial activity of the extracts and its minimum inhibitory and
11 bactericidal concentrations. A phytochemical screening was done for the confirmation of the
12 result and the data was statistically analysed.

13

14 **Index terms**— *ficus exasperata*, antimicrobial activity, ethanol, hexane, extract, phytochemicals, microbial
15 isolates.

16 **1 I. Introduction**

17 Infectious diseases are the number one cause of death due to illnesses across the world and account for
18 approximately one-half of all deaths in tropical countries. According to World Health Organisation (WHO)
19 report, about 15 million (>25%) of 57 million annual deaths worldwide are the direct result of infectious diseases
20 [1]. Of these infectious diseases, microorganisms are the commonest organisms responsible for morbidity and
21 mortality [2,3]. As such, bacterial and fungal diseases continue to remain a major public health problem [4].

22 Efforts in the management of bacterial and fungal infections had been very effective for long with the use of
23 antibiotics till the emergence of antimicrobial drug resistance in the past two decades [5,6]. Since then, the use
24 of conventional drugs have been challenging in the treatment and management of these diseases and the quest
25 for alternative solutions have been a major global concern to WHO and other public health institutions and
26 organizations.

27 In recent time, there is so much concern on the use of plants and their constituents for treatment as have
28 extensively been used in folk medicine for the treatment of many ailments [7,8]. So many plants have been shown
29 to have medicinal properties against microbial and fungal infections [9,10]. One of such plant is *Ficus exasperata*,
30 locally known as "sand paper plant" and "Ewe ipin" in the Yoruba language of Western Nigeria. Different
31 parts of the plant are locally used for treating various infectious diseases such as eye-sores, ring worm, stomach
32 pains and leprosy etc. [11][12][13]. The leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* has been reported for the treatment of
33 various diseases including coughs, intestinal pains, colics, bleeding, ulcer, wounds, bacterial, fungal infections etc.
34 [13] ??14][15] ??16] ??17][18][19][20]. Various pharmacological actions such as anti-hypertensive, antioxidant,
35 anti-inflammatory, anti-ulcer, anti-lipidic, anti-bacterial and anti-fungal activities have been described for *Ficus*
36 *Exasperata* ??16] ??17][18][19][20][21][22].

37 These pharmacological activities are attributed to the presence of certain bioactive components in the plant
38 [23] which have been identified and characterized and are now the basis for new therapies. Synergism is reported
39 to be the most probably mechanism of action responsible for the overall pharmacological activity of medicinal
40 plants [24,25]. Synergic effect depends on the photochemical load; that is, the number of various types of
41 phytochemicals extracted which is determined by the extraction method employed. Polar solvent have shown
42 to recover more bioactive components from plants than nonpolar solvents hence, a greater phytochemical load
43 [26,27]. In this study, we compared the effect of ethanol (polar) and hexane (non-polar) extracts of *Ficus*
44 *exasperata* on five microbial isolates. The crude extracts were further subjected to phytochemical screening to
45 evaluate the phytochemical load.

11 G) PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING

46 2 II. Materials and Methods

47 3 a) Plant material

48 Fresh leaves of *Ficus exasperata* were collected from Enuguagu, Achi, Orjiriver local Government, Enugu and
49 transported to the Chemistry Laboratory of Godfrey Okoye University, Enugu State of Nigeria.

50 4 b) Preparation of ethanol and hexane extracts

51 The leaves of *Ficus exasperata* were air dried for two weeks and ground to fine powder with a Binatone blender
52 (Model BLG-401). Extraction was done as described by Adebayo and Ishola using a soxhlet extractor [28]. 70 g
53 of each portion of the leaf powder were dissolved in Hexane and Ethanol and the mixture was transferred in the
54 extractor separately. Hexane extraction was done at 69 °C adding 0.080g of antibulbing chips to speed up the
55 boiling point while ethanol extraction was done at 50 °C with 0.070g of anti-bulbing chips.

56 5 c) Specimen collection and culture

57 Clinical isolates of *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Cadida*
58 *alblicans* were obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory of Godfrey Okoye University. The isolates were tested
59 for viability by resuscitating them in buffered peptone water and only the viable isolates were sub-cultured.
60 Plates containing 15ml of sterile nutrient agar (Oxoid, England) after autoclaving each were inoculated with the
61 viable isolates by aseptic streaking and cultured at 37°C for 24 hours.

62 6 d) Evaluation of antimicrobial activity

63 In vitro antimicrobial activity was evaluated by the agar well and disc diffusion methods.
64 i.

65 7 Agar well Diffusion method

66 Agar well diffusion technique as described by Adeniyi et al. was adopted for the study [29]. Using sterile pasteur
67 pipette, 5mm diameter wells were created at the centre of each plate and 1ml of the various concentrations of
68 the plant extracts were dispensed into each well. The extracts were allowed to diffuse into the medium for 1hour
69 pre-diffusion at room temperature after which the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours and the zones of
70 growth inhibition measured in millimetre (mm). ii.

71 8 Disc diffusion method

72 In this method, 0.5mm sterile filter paper disc was soaked in extract solution for 2 hours then placed on the surface
73 of the agar plate. The plates were kept at room temperature for 2 hours pre-diffusion at room temperature and
74 incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours.

75 Also, a standard antibiotics, chloramphenicol of 250mg was dissolved in 2.5ml of distilled water to obtain
76 a concentration of 100mg/ml. A twofold serial dilution was done trice to obtain the following concentrations;
77 50, 25, and 12.5 mg/ml. These four concentrations of chloramphenicol which served as positive control were
78 impregnated on filter papers disc and placed alongside the ethanol plant extract (100mg/ml) filter paper disc
79 on the surface of the agar plate. The plates were kept at room temperature for 2 hours pre-diffusion at room
80 temperature and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours.

81 9 e) Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

82 The minimum inhibition concentration was determined using the dilution method as described by Robbers et
83 al. [30] which made use of a twofold dilution assay. The extract was diluted with distilled water trice at a ratio
84 of 1:2 to obtain concentrations of 200, 100, 50, and 25ug/ml. Nutrient agar broth was prepared according to
85 manufacturer's instruction and dispensed into separate test tubes. 1ml each of the four extract dilutions was
86 added in order of decreasing concentrations to the broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

87 10 f) Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

88 The minimum bacterial concentration was determined as described by Robbers et al. [30]. The broths from the
89 MIC assay were streaked on a solid nutrient agar plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Various dilutions of
90 the plant extracts were impregnated on sterile filter papers and placed on the surface of the solid dry agar plate.
91 After pre-diffusion of the plate at room temperature for 2 hours, they were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours.

92 11 g) Phytochemical screening

93 Phytochemical analysis of both the hexane and ethanol extract was carried out for tannins, glycosides, saponin,
94 flavonoids, alkaloids and steroids using standard methods of Sofowora, Trease and Evans, and Harbon [31,32,33].

95 12 III. Data Analysis

96 The zone of inhibition was considered as the distance of the clear zones that showed no growth on the surface
97 of agar plate after culture and measured in millimetres (mm) using a ruler. The lowest concentration of extract
98 which showed no turbidity in the broth culture was recorded as the MIC and the concentration that exhibited
99 no bacterial growth after culture was considered as the MBC value.

100 The data was expressed as Mean \pm SEM. The differences between the groups was compared using the analysis
101 of variance method (ANOVA) followed by

102 13 IV. Results and Discussion

103 Extraction is a key step for the recovery and isolation of bioactive phytochemicals from plant materials. The
104 pharmacological activities of plants greatly depend on the extraction method being employed [34]. Solvent
105 extraction has widely been used to recover and isolate bioactive molecules as well as in the evaluation of their
106 in vitro activity [35,36]. In this ethanol and hexane were used in the extraction investigate the activity of *Ficus*
107 *exasperata* against microbial isolates. The percentage yield after extraction was higher in ethanol compared to
108 hexane. Ethanol extraction recovered 10. 2g of extract with a percentage yield of 14.6% compared to 7.5g of
109 hexane extract with a yield of 10.6% (Table 1). After extraction, the activity of *Ficus exasperata* was evaluated
110 on five microbial isolates; *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and
111 *Candida albican*. Antimicrobial screening using the disk and well diffusion methods showed *Ficus exasperata*
112 extracts (ethanol and hexane) to possess antibacterial and antifungal activities as were able to inhibit the growth
113 of all the microbial isolates.

114 In the disk diffusion method, the zone of inhibition (mm) ranged from 2.5 to 18.5 and the highest inhibition
115 was recorded for the ethanol extract while the lowest was for the hexane extract. Inhibition was concentration
116 dependent that is; the 200mg/ml extract concentration showed the highest inhibition while the 25mg/ml showed
117 the least microbial growth inhibition. Comparing the inhibition profile for the various concentrations and
118 microbial isolates, ethanol extract showed a greater inhibition than hexane extract and was significantly different
119 ($p < 0.05$) for the 150 and 25mg/ml concentrations. The inhibition pattern varied in respect to the concentration
120 for all the microbial isolates with the greatest inhibition recorded on *Salmonella typhi* followed by *Klebsiella*
121 *pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Escherichia coli* in decreasing order while *Candida albican* showed the
122 least inhibition (Table 2). The well diffusion method also showed antimicrobial activity on all the microbial
123 isolates but not as effective as the disk diffusion method. The zone of inhibition ranged from 2.0 to 15.5mm
124 and the highest inhibition was recorded for the ethanol extract while the lowest was observed for the hexane
125 extract. Similarly, the inhibition was concentration dependent as the highest extract concentration (200mg/ml)
126 showed the greatest inhibition that reduced with decreasing concentration. Comparatively, ethanol extract
127 showed a greater antimicrobial activity than hexane having a greater zone of inhibition for all the different
128 concentrations and isolates and the difference was significant for the 100 and 25mg/ml concentrations ($p < 0.05$).
129 Also, the microbial inhibition profile was similar to that of the disk diffusion method with *Salmonella typhi* having
130 the highest inhibition followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Candida*
131 *albican* in decreasing order (Table 3). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the least concentration
132 of a plant extract that shows no growth of microbial isolates in broth. In this study, the MIC was the 100mg/ml
133 concentration and was observed for both the ethanol and hexane extracts. The ethanol concentration inhibited
134 the growth for all the isolates except *Candida albican* while growth was observed for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and
135 *Candida albican* with the hexane extract (Table 4).On the other hand, the minimum bactericidal concentration
136 (MBC) which is the least concentration that will completely kill a particular microorganism was the 200mg/dl
137 concentration. This concentration; both for ethanol and hexane extracts was effective in killing microbial isolates.
138 For the ethanol extract, this concentration was sensitive in killing *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and
139 *Escherichia coli* while only *Salmonella typhi* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were killed by the hexane extract
140 concentration (Table 5). *Ficus exasperata* extract was effective against all the different microbial isolates. When
141 the activity of the plant extract was compared to various concentrations of the standard drug chloramphenicol, it
142 was shown to be similar to that of the 100mg/ml ethanol plant extract concentration (Table 6). Previous studies
143 have shown *Ficus exasperata* to possess antimicrobial activities against several microbial species [37][38][39][28].
144 However, the extracts had the least activity on *Candida albican* suggesting that *ficus exasperata* is more effective
145 on bacterial species especially gram negative bacteria such as *Salmonella typhi* and *Escherichia coli* which showed
146 the highest inhibition pattern as well as the gram positive species than fungal species. This result confirms the
147 local use of *Ficus exasperata* for medicinal purposes in treating infectious diseases caused by gram negative
148 bacteria such as gastro intestinal infections, diarrhoea, typhoid etc [10][11][12]. The ability of the extracts to
149 inhibit the growth of several bacterial and fungal species is an indication of the broad spectrum antimicrobial
150 potential of *Ficus exasperata* which makes it a potential candidate for a prospective antimicrobial drug. In
151 all, ethanol extract of *Ficus exasperata* showed an overall better inhibition pattern against microbial isolates
152 than hexane though both were effective in inhibiting microbial growth (Figure 1). These results confirm the
153 findings of previous studies which have also shown ethanol extract to possess the strongest antimicrobial activity
154 and most effective in in vitro studies compared to other solvents used for extraction [40]. The reason for a
155 greater activity of ethanol over the hexane extract could be attributed to the polarity of the solvent which has
156 earlier been reported to be responsible for the extraction of a wide range of phytochemicals that potentiates the

157 pharmacological activity of plant extracts [40][41][42]. The polarity of ethanol gives it the ability to penetrate
158 cell membrane to extract intracellular ingredients from plant and also, since most phytochemicals are mostly
159 aromatic or saturated compounds which are uncharge, they can easily be extracted by charge or polar solvents
160 ??43]. As such, ethanol; a polar solvent will yield more phytotochemicals which in synergy will generate a greater
161 pharmacological activity than hexane which is non polar. Thus, the greater the phytochemical load, the greater
162 the activity of a plant extract. and more effective solvent compared to hexane as it recovered a greater number
163 (load) of phytochemicals. Out of the seven phytochemicals screened, five; tannin, saponin, alkaloid, flavanoid
164 and glycosides were identified in the ethanol extract against three; tannin, alkaloid and flavanoid for hexane
165 extract (Table 7). Phytochemicals are plant molecules that are not directly involve in plant's growth but for
166 other secondary activities such as protection against pest, pigmentation, abiotic stress etc. [44]. These chemicals
167 have been reported in several studies to be responsible for the healing potentials of medicinal plants ??45]. In
168 this study, a wide range of phytochemicals; tannin, saponin, alkaloid, flavanoid, glycosides were recovered which
169 have been report for antimicrobial activities through different mechanisms of action ??45].

170 14 Global

171 Hence, the collaborative or synergic action of these phytochemicals is responsible for the antimicrobial activity
172 of *Ficus exasperata*.

173 15 V. Conclusion

174 *Ficus exasperata* possess a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities and thus, a potential candidate for a
175 prospective antimicrobial treatment whose activity will be at its best if ethanol is used for extraction to recover a
176 wide range of phytochemicals. Due to its broad spectrum of activity, the local use of *Ficus exasperata* for various
177 medicinal purposes is therefore encouraged.

178 16 VI. Acknowledgement

179 We wish to acknowledge the management of Godfrey Okoye University Nigeria for providing materials, reagents,
180 equipment and laboratories for analysis. Special thanks go to the Vice Chancellor, Rev. Fr. Prof. Christian
181 Anieke for financial assistance and moral support and to the staff and final year students of Chemical and
182 Biological Sciences Departments for their technical assistance in carrying out this project.

183 17 VII.

Volume



Figure 1:



Figure 2: Figure 1 :

1

| Extraction Method | Initial weight of plant (g) | Weight of plant extract (g) | Percentage (%) | Yield |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Ethanol | 70 | 10.2 | 14.6 | |
| Hexane | 70 | 7.5 | | 10.6 |

Figure 3: Table 1 :

2

| Concentration of Extract (mg/ml) | Extraction method | ST | Zone of Inhibition (mm) | | | | Mean \pm SEM | p-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------------------|---------|
| | | | SA | EC | KP | CA | | |
| 200 | EE | 18.5 | 12.2 | 18.3 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 14.16 \pm 1.76 | 0.377 |
| | HE | 15.0 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 16.1 | 8.2 | 11.96 \pm 1.52 | |
| 150 | EE | 14.5 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 12.4 | 9.2 | 10.88 \pm 1.13 | 0.047 |
| | HE | 12.2 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 10.0 | 4.5 | 08.02 \pm 0.55 | |
| 100 | EE | 10.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 06.60 \pm 1.12 | 0.089 |
| | HE | 10.0 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 08.64 \pm 1.42 | |
| 50 | EE | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 05.44 \pm 0.17 | 0.060 |
| | HE | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 05.00 \pm 0.00 | |
| 25 | EE | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 03.62 \pm 0.21 | 0.031 |
| | HE | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 03.10 \pm 0.25 | |

[Note: Legend: ST: *Salmonella typhi*, SA: *Staphylococcus aureus*, EC: *Escherichia coli*, KP: *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CA: *Candida albicans*, EE: Ethanol Extract, HE: Hexane Extract]

Figure 4: Table 2 :

3

| Concentration of Extract (mg/ml) | Extraction method | ST | Zone of Inhibition (mm) | | | | Mean \pm SEM | p-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------------|-----|------|-----|------------------|---------|
| | | | SA | EC | KP | CA | | |
| 200 | EE | 15.3 | 10.5 | 7.5 | 12.2 | 9.0 | 10.90 \pm 1.35 | 0.250 |
| | HE | 12.1 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 13.1 | 6.1 | 09.74 \pm 1.28 | |
| 150 | EE | 10.2 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 08.30 \pm 0.49 | 0.111 |
| | HE | 10.1 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 06.98 \pm 1.06 | |
| 100 | EE | 8.4 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 06.24 \pm 0.21 | 0.010 |
| | HE | 8.0 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 05.00 \pm 0.82 | |
| 50 | EE | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 03.32 \pm 0.17 | 0.389 |
| | HE | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 03.52 \pm 0.22 | |
| 25 | EE | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 02.86 \pm 0.24 | 0.021 |
| | HE | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 02.50 \pm 0.16 | |

Figure 5: Table 3 :

4

| Concentration of Extract (mg/ml) | Extraction method | Microbial growth profile | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| | | ST | SA | EC | KP | CA |
| 200 | EE | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| | HE | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| 100 | EE | ? | ? | ? | ? | + |
| | HE | ? | ? | ? | + | + |
| 50 | EE | + | + | + | + | + |
| | HE | + | + | + | + | + |
| 25 | EE | + | + | + | + | + |
| | HE | + | + | + | + | + |

Legend: + Growth; ?No growth

Figure 6: Table 4 :

5

| Concentration of Extract (mg/ml) | Extraction method | Microbial growth profile | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| | | ST | SA | EC | KP | CA |
| 200 | EE | ? | ? | ? | + | + |
| | HE | ? | ? | + | + | + |
| 100 | EE | + | + | + | + | + |
| | HE | + | + | + | + | + |
| 50 | EE | + | + | + | + | + |
| | HE | + | + | + | + | + |
| 25 | EE | + | + | + | + | + |
| | HE | + | + | + | + | + |

Figure 7: Table 5 :

6

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|------|------|------|--|
| Chloramphenicol (mg/ml) | 100 | 50 | 25 | 12.5 | Ethanol plant extract (100mg/ml) |
| Zone of Inhibition (mm) | 14.60 | 7.01 | 3.55 | 1.78 | 12 |

Figure 8: Table 6 :

7

| Screened Phytochemicals | Tannins | Saponins | Alkaloids | Flavonoids | Steroids | Glycosides | Anthraquinones |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|----------------|
| Ethanol Extract | + | | + | + | - | + | - |
| Hexane extract | + | | - | + | + | - | - |

Figure 9: Table 7 :

Year 2015
 D D D D) B
 (

Figure 10:

185 .1 Conflict of Interest

186 The authors declare no conflict of interest in conducting this research.

187 [Williams] , Wilkins Williams . p. .

188 [Robbers et al. ()] , J Robbers , M Speedie , V Tyler . 1996. *Pharmacognosy and Pharmacobiotechnology*.

189 [Ijeh and Ukwensi ()] 'Acute effect of administration of ethanol extracts of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (Moraceae) on
190 kidney function in Albino rats'. I I Ijeh , A I Ukwensi . *J Med Plant Res* 2007. 1 p. .

191 [Woode et al. ()] 'An evaluation of the antiinflammatory, anti-pyretic, and antinociceptive effects of *Ficus*
192 *exasperata* Vahl leaf extract'. E Woode , R A Poku , G K Ainooson , E Boakye-Gyasi , Wkm Abotsi ,
193 T L Mensah , A K Amo-Baromah . *J Pharm Toxicol* 2009. 4 p. .

194 [Shira (2008)] *An introduction to infectious diseases*, S Shira . 2008. 24 January 2008.

195 [Chinedu et al. ()] 'Anti-diarrhoeal, antispasmodic and phytochemical properties of ethanol extract of the leaves
196 of *Ficus exasperata*'. F A Chinedu , U Uyai , A U Emezie . *Asian J. Res. Pharm. Sci* 2012. 2 (1) p. . AU
197 (Utoh-Nedosa)

198 [Odunbaku et al. ()] 'Antibacterial activity of ethanolic leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* on *Escherichia coli* and
199 *Staphylococcus albus*'. O A Odunbaku , O A Ilusanya , K S Akasoro . *Scientific Res Essay* 2008. 3 (11) p. .

200 [Burkhill et al. ()] 'Anticonvulsant effects of a leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (Moraceae) in Mice'. H M
201 Burkhill , U K London , E Woode , R A Poku , Wkm Abotsi . *Royal Botanic Gardens Kew* 1997. 2011. 7 (3)
202 p. . (Int J Pharm)

203 [Igbinosa1 et al. ()] 'Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of stem bark extracts from *Jatropha*
204 *curcas* (Linn)'. O O Igbinosa1 , E O Igbinosa , O A Aiyeoro . *Afr J Pharm and Pharmacol* 2009. 3 (2) p. .

205 [Antimicrobial drug resistance. World Health Organisation secretariat ()] *Antimicrobial drug resistance. World*
206 *Health Organisation secretariat*, 2013.

207 [Adeniyi et al. ()] 'Antimicrobial potential of *Diospyros mesiliforus* (Ebenaceae) Afr'. B A Adeniyi , H A Odelola
208 , B A Oso . *J. Med. Sci* 1996. 255 p. .

209 [El-Mahmood et al. ()] 'Antimicrobial screening of stem bark extracts of *Vitellaria paradoxa* against some enteric
210 pathogenic micro-organisms'. A M El-Mahmood , J H Doughari , N Ladan . *Afr J Pharm Pharmacol* 2008.
211 2 (5) p. .

212 [Ncube et al. ()] 'Assessment techniques of antimicrobial properties of natural compounds of plant origin: current
213 methods and future trends'. N S Ncube , A J Afolayan , A I Okoh . *Afr J Biotech* 2008. 7 (12) p. .

214 [Trease and Evans ()] 'Braille TRida Canada Macmillan Publishers'. G E Trease , W C Evans . *Pharmacognosy*
215 1989. 257. (Canada)

216 [Engwa et al. ()] 'Comparative Qualitative Analysis of the Phytochemical Load of Water, Methanol, Ethyl
217 Acetate and Hexane Extracts of Six Selected Medicinal Plants'. A G Engwa , P Nnamdi , J C Nnadi ,
218 T I Offor , B C Eze . *Int J Pharmacog Phytochem Res* 2013. 5 (3) p. .

219 [Bimakr ()] *Comparison of different extraction methods for the extraction of major bioactive flavonoid compounds*
220 *from spearmint (Mentha spicata L.) leaves*. *Food Bioprod Process*, M Bimakr . 2010. p. .

221 [Lapornik et al. ()] 'Comparison of extracts prepared from plant by products using different solvents and
222 extraction time'. B Lapornik , M Prosek , A G Wondra . *Journal of Food Engineering* 2005. 71 p. .

223 [Sonibare et al. ()] 'Constituents of *Ficus exasperata* leaves'. M O Sonibare , A O Isiaka , M W Taruka , N S
224 Williams , M Soladoye , O Emmanuel . *Natural product communications* 2006. p. .

225 [Mbakwem-Aniebo et al. ()] 'Effects of *Ficus Exasperata* Vahl on Common Dermatophytes and Causative Agent
226 of Pityriasis Versicolor in Rivers State'. C Mbakwem-Aniebo , O Onianwa , I O Okonko . *Nigeria. Am J*
227 *Dermatol and Venereol* 2012. 1 (1) p. .

228 [Kenrad ()] *Epidermiology of Infectious disease: General principles*, E N Kenrad . 08/10/2014. 2014.

229 [Lawal et al. ()] 'Evaluation of Extract of *Ficus Exasperata* Vahl Root Bark for Antimicrobial Activities Against
230 Some Strains of Clinical Isolates of Bacteria and Fungi'. I O Lawal , T I Borokini , A Oyeleye , O A Williams
231 , J O Olayemi . *Int J Modern Botany* 2012. 2 (1) p. .

232 [Akah et al. ()] 'Evaluation of Nigerian traditional medicines: II. Effects of some folk remedies on peptic ulcer'.
233 P A Akah , O E Orisakwe , K S Gamaniel , A Shittu . *J Ethanopharm* 1998. 62 p. .

234 [Adebayo and Ishiola ()] 'Evaluations of the methanolic extract of *Ficus exasperata* stem, bark, leaf and root for
235 phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial activities'. E Adebayo , Ishiola . *Afr. J. Plant Sci* 2009. 3 (12) p. .

236 [Handa et al. ()] *Extraction Technologies for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. International centre for science*
237 *and high technology*, S S Handa , Sps Khanuja , G Longo , D D Rakesh . 2008. Trieste. p. .

238 [Wang ()] *In vivo anthelmintic activity of five alkaloids from *Macleaya microcarpa* (Maxim) Fedde*, G X Wang .
239 2010.

240 [Sofawa ()] *Medicinal And traditional medicine in Africa*, A E Sofawa . 1993. 2 p. . (Spectrum Books Ltd)

241 [Harbone ()] *Methods of plants analysis*, J B Harbone . 1973. Phytochemical Methods Chapman and Hall London.

242 [Murry and Lopez ()] 'Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: global burden of disease study'. C J
243 Murry , A D Lopez . *Lancet* 1997. 349 p. .

244 [Bouquet ()] 'Natural products as an alternative remedy'. A J Bouquet . *Royal Botanic Gardens* 1969. (24th Ed)

245 [Buniyamin et al. ()] 'Pharmacognosy and Hypotensive evaluation of Ficus exasperata Vahl (moraceae) leave'.
246 A A Buniyamin , Kiq Eric , C A Fabian . *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica-Drug Research* 2007. 64 (6) p. .

247 [Abbiw ()] 'Study of Tropical shrubs and plant'. T Abbiw . *J. Biogeorge* 1990. 23 p. .

248 [Williamson ()] 'Synergy and other interactions in phytomedicines'. E M Williamson . *Phytomed* 2001. 8 p. .

249 [Das et al. ()] 'Techniques for evaluation of medicinal plant products as antimicrobial agent: Current methods
250 and future trends'. K Das , Rks Tiwari , D K Shrivastava . *J Med Plants Res* 2010. 4 (2) p. .

251 [Abotsi et al. ()] 'The maximal electroshock seizure (MES) model in the preclinical assessment of potential new
252 antiepileptic drugs'. Wmk Abotsi , E Woode , A Ainooson , A Amo-Baromah , E Boakye-Gyasi , M M
253 Castel-Branco , G L Alves , I V Figueiredo , A C Falcao , M M Caramona . *Methods, Find. Exp Clin Pharm*
254 2010. 2009. 31 p. . (Antiathritic and antioxidant effects of the leaf extract of Ficus 17)

255 [Mshana et al. ()] 'Traditional Medicine and Pharmacopoeia: Contribution to the Revision ethanobotanical and
256 floristic studies in Ghana'. N R Mshana , D K Abbiw , I Addae-Mensah , E Adjanohoun , Mra Ahyi .
257 *Organization of African Unity/Scientific, Technical and Research Commission* 2014. 2014. p. . (1st edition.
258 Accessed online)

259 [Pinner et al. ()] 'Trends in infectious diseases mortality in the United States'. R S Pinner , L Teutsch , L
260 Simonsen , J Klug , M Graber , R Berkelman . *J Am Med Assoc* 1996. 275 p. .

261 [Rivera et al. ()] 'Use of Herbal Medicines and Implications for Conventional Drug Therapy Medical Sciences.
262 Alternative and Integrative Medicine'. J O Rivera , A M Loya , R Ceballos . *Altern Integ Med* 2013. 2 p. 6.

263 [Berenbaum ()] 'What is synergy?'. M C Berenbaum . *Pharmacol. Rev* 1989. 41 p. .

264 [Eloff ()] 'Which extractant should be used for the screening and isolation of antimicrobial components from
265 plants'. J N Eloff . *J Ethnopharm* 1998. 60 p. .

266 [Sanjoy ()] 'Yogeshwer S. Herbal Medicine: Current Status and the Future'. K P Sanjoy . *Asian Pacific J Cancer
267 Prev* 2003. 4 p. .