

1 Gaurav Singhal¹2 ¹ University of Adelaide3 *Received: 11 December 2014 Accepted: 5 January 2015 Published: 15 January 2015*4

5 **Abstract**6 Problem: The sequential changes in the immunogenicity of spermatozoa in male reproductive
7 tract and the effect of seminal vesicle secretions are long thought to act as central players in
8 influencing immunological equilibrium in the male reproductive tract. Method of Study:
9 Popliteal lymph nodes of mice were collected on the 8th day after sensitizing them with the
10 testicular and epididymal spermatozoa of boar, weighed, dissociated into a cell suspension and
11 the white blood cells were counted using haemocytometer.12

13 **Index terms**— immunosuppression, popliteal lymph node, secondary immune response, seminal vesicle,
14 testicle.15 **1 Introduction**16 he spermatozoon has an immune privileged status in the testis [1][2][3][4]. Once ejaculated in the female
17 reproductive tract, spermatozoa act as the potential target for the female immune system due to their foreign
18 nature [5]. Females exposed to spermatozoa have shown an increase in the weight of lymph nodes that drain the
19 reproductive tract even though there is an immunosuppressive effect of seminal plasma [6]. However, in spite
20 of the fact that single physiological exposure to semen by natural insemination initiates an immune response
21 involving the lymph nodes which drain the uterus, a significant immune reaction rarely occurs in females even
22 with frequent coital activity [7], the reason for which is still not known. Although, factors like immune insult from
23 bacterial infections [8,9], and female sex hormones [10] have been shown to influence the viability of spermatozoa
24 and immune response against them in females.25 The secretions from the accessory sexual glands also affect the immunogenic property of spermatozoa in
26 each ejaculation. The immunosuppressive components obtained from the seminal fluid have been found to
27 reduce B lymphocyte activity to mitogens [11]. In addition, seminal proteins coating on sperms is essential
28 for several processes in female reproductive tract, such as formation of the oviductal sperm reservoir, sperm
29 capacitation, oocyte recognition and sperm binding to the oocyte [12]. Indeed, seminal plasma, containing
30 cytokines and prostaglandins, is believed to provide the physiologically protective environment to the highly
31 antigenic spermatozoa in female reproductive tract [1,13-17]. Dostalet al. found reduction in the number
32 of white blood cells and decrease in the activity of plaqueforming cells after injecting the immunosuppressive
33 components of boar seminal plasma into the rectum of female mice [18]. It has been suggested by researchers
34 that this immunosuppressive effect of seminal plasma may also compromise the immune system in females for
35 viral and bacterial attack [1,18-21]. The immunosuppressive components of boar seminal fluid lead to the
36 suppression of primary and secondary immune response and delay in the production of immunoglobulin G and
37 immunoglobulin M antibodies to boar epididymal spermatozoa and to bacterial antigens [22]. Researchers have
38 also demonstrated that seminal leukocytes are responsible for the phagocytosis of morphologically abnormal
39 spermatozoa in the semen [23]. In some women, genital secretions and the serum showed the presence of
40 sperm antibodies and this raises the question as to whether these sperm antibodies are produced in response to
41 the immunogenicity of spermatozoa in reproductive tissues or it is a transudate from the serum [24]. However,
42 the titre of the antibodies to spermatozoa is generally lower in serum than in genital secretions which supports
43 the hypothesis that these antibodies are produced in response to spermatozoa in the genital tract and not in
44 the serum [25]. Formation of anti-sperm antibodies has been established as an important cause of both male
45 and female infertility, especially in humans [26,27]. The aim of the current study is to investigate variations
46 in the immunogenicity of spermatozoa, as they move from rete testis to different locations in epididymis, using

7 E) DETERMINATION OF THE CONCENTRATION OF SPERMATOZOA

47 popliteal lymph node assay in mice. Estimation of the effect of seminal fluid on spermatozoa antigenicity and
48 the secondary immune response to spermatozoa were also included during our work.

2 II.

3 Materials and Methods

4 a) Animals

52 Ethics approval to conduct research on animals was taken from the James Cook University (JCU) Animal Ethics
53 Committee prior to the commencement of study (Approval number A 1191).

5 i. Boars

55 Male pigs were purchased from a pig farmer at 3-4 weeks or 16 weeks of age and grown to 12 months of age using
56 standard husbandry practices within the animal facilities of the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences,
57 James Cook University (JCU), Townsville.

58 ii. Mice Female Balb/c mice 12-15 weeks of age were used for the lymph node bioassay. The mice were
59 obtained from the rodent facility of the School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences at JCU. Food was withheld
60 for 12 hours and the boar pre-medicated with an intramuscular injection of atropine (Apex Laboratories Pty.
61 Ltd., Somersby, New South Wales, Australia) at 5 mg/kg body weight. Surgical anaesthesia was induced with
62 intramuscular injections of xylazine hydrochloride (Ilium xylazil-100; Troy Laboratories Pty. Ltd., Smithfield,
63 New South Wales, Australia) at 1 mg/kg body weight and ketamine (Parnell Laboratories Pty. Ltd., Alexandria,
64 New South Wales, Australia) at 6 mg/kg body weight. Once anaesthesia was induced, the scrotum was prepared
65 aseptically and 5 mls of local anaesthetic (Lignocaine 20; Troy Laboratories Pty. Ltd., Smithfield, New South
66 Wales, Australia) was injected under the scrotal skin along the intended site of incision. A vertical incision of
67 about 8 cm in length was made on the skin of the scrotum. The incision was deepened through the subcutaneous
68 tissue and spermatic fascia to reach the parietal vaginal tunic which was then excised to expose the testicle. The
69 testicle with attached epididymis and spermatic cord was extruded out. A large haemostat was applied to the
70 spermatic cord proximal to the pampiniform plexus and three simple interrupted sutures (6.0 metric chromic
71 catgut) were applied to the spermatic cord. The spermatic cord was cut ventral to the sutures and the testicle
72 removed by incising the spermatic fascia and the scrotal ligament. The testicle was held in a vertical position for
73 2-3 minutes in order to drain out as much blood as possible. Immediately after that, it was placed in an insulated
74 box containing frozen cold blocks until spermatozoa were collected in the laboratory. Simple interrupted sutures
75 (3.5 metric chromic catgut) were used to suture the parietal vaginal tunic and scrotal muscles and the scrotal
76 skin was closed with mattress sutures (Vicryl 3.0 metric; Johnson and Johnson, North Ryde, New South Wales,
77 Australia). The boar was given an intramuscular injection of 1200 mg oxytetracycline (Engemycin 100; Intervet
78 Australia Pty. Ltd., Bendigo, Victoria, Australia) in the neck muscles for preventing any post-operative infections.
79 c) Collection of the second testicle and seminal vesicles Each boar was sent to the Charters Towers abattoir four
80 to five weeks after the unilateral castration. The testicle and seminal vesicles were collected immediately after
81 slaughter, placed in an insulated box containing frozen cold blocks and brought back to the laboratory at School
82 of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, JCU. The interval between slaughter and collection of seminal vesicle
83 fluid and spermatozoa was between two and two and half hours. Spermatozoa were collected from the caput,
84 corpus and cauda epididymidis, as well as from the rete testis (Fig I) into sterile 15 ml graduated conical tubes
85 (Falcon 2096; Beeton Dickinson Labware, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA). Seminal fluid was also collected
86 into Falcon tube by incising the seminal vesicle and aspirating the contents with a sterile pipette.

6 d) Collection of spermatozoa from testis and epididymis

88 Spermatozoa from the caput, corpus and cauda epididymidis, and rete testis were collected and suspended in
89 normal saline at concentrations of 2×10^3 , 2×10^5 , 2×10^7 /ml. The caput, corpus and caudal epididymal spermatozoa
90 were collected by taking incisions on the caput, corpus and cauda, aspirating the contents and placing it into
91 sterile Falcon tubes containing 1 ml of sterile normal saline. Spermatozoa were collected from the rete testis by
92 excision of the mediastinum and aspirating the contents.

7 e) Determination of the concentration of spermatozoa

94 The concentration of spermatozoa was determined in each sample using a Hamilton Thorne sperm analyser.
95 Half hour before the analysis, the HTM-IVOS analyser version 10 (Hamilton Thorne; Beverley MA, USA) was
96 turned on in order to acquire the working temperature of 39°C. The temperature of the four compartmented
97 20 micron deep analysis chamber (Standard count, Leja, Nieuw-Vennep, Netherlands) was set at 39°C and then
98 the chambers were loaded with the semen samples by capillary action. This was followed by the loading of the
99 analysis chamber into HTM-IVOS analyser and the spermatozoa concentration in each sample was determined.
100 The final calculations to obtain the required concentration were done manually using a calculator.

101 8 f) Washing of spermatozoa

102 The samples were then added to sterile normal saline to make a final volume of 14 1200 rpm (207.24 g) for 10
103 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the sperm pellet re-suspended and washed in 14 ml of normal
104 saline and centrifuged again. The spermatozoa were then re-suspended in normal saline to the required three
105 concentrations.

106 9 g) Injection of mice and collection of popliteal lymph nodes

107 Fifty μ l of each sample were injected subcutaneously with a 25 G needle and a 1ml syringe just above the right
108 hock of the mouse. Three mice were used for each sperm concentration, source of spermatozoa, diluent and time
109 period. A control injection of 50 μ l of sterile saline was injected subcutaneously above the left hock. At four,
110 eight and twelve days after the injection, the mice were killed with CO₂ gas and both popliteal lymph nodes
111 were carefully removed, placed in normal saline, adhering fat removed under a stereomicroscope, blot dried and
112 weighed in Sartorius analytical balance (maximum capacity = 120 g; readability = 0.1 mg; repeatability = 0.1
113 mg; linearity = 0.2 mg; weighing units = g, mg, kg, oz t, ct).

114 10 III.

115 Full Experimental Protocol a) Primary immune response Spermatozoa were collected from the rete testis and
116 caput, corpus and cauda epididymidis from ten testes, prepared, re-suspended in normal saline and injected in
117 mice as described in previous sections. The mice were killed eight days later and the popliteal lymph nodes
118 weighed as described above. The lymph nodes were then dissociated into a cell suspension in 1.5 ml conical
119 eppendorf tubes by meshing it with a sterile cell strainer in 1 ml normal saline and the number of white blood
120 cells enumerated using a haemocytometer. The response to the lymph nodes was calculated as a stimulation
121 index based on weights of test and control lymph nodes as well as a stimulation index based on the number of cells
122 in the test and control lymph nodes. The repeatability of the response between the two testes and epididymis of
123 each boar was also examined.

124 11 b) Secondary immune response

125 The secondary immune response to spermatozoa from four boars was examined. Groups of three mice were
126 injected with spermatozoa from the rete testis and caput, corpus and cauda epididymidis. When the boar was
127 slaughtered four to five weeks later, the mice were injected again near the popliteal lymph node and killed eight
128 days later. The stimulation indices based on the weight and cell numbers in the lymph nodes were calculated as
129 above. c) Influence of seminal vesicle fluid on the primary immune response Fluid from the seminal vesicles was
130 collected from seven boars and kept at room temperature until sperm samples were being prepared. In the first
131 group of experiments, spermatozoa were prepared in normal saline as well as seminal vesicle fluid and injected
132 into mice as described previously. In a second group of experiments, 2×10^7 spermatozoa were incubated in 1
133 ml of seminal fluid for 15 minutes at 39.0 C. The samples were then centrifuged at 207.24 g for 10 minutes, the
134 supernatant removed and spermatozoa re-suspended in 14 ml of normal saline. The process was repeated twice
135 before suspending spermatozoa in 1 ml normal saline for injection. In the third group of experiments, seminal
136 vesicle fluid from six boars was injected into groups of four mice with sterile normal saline as control to determine
137 the response to seminal vesicle fluid alone. The stimulation indices based on the weight and cell numbers in the
138 lymph nodes were calculated as above.

139 12 d) Statistical analyses

140 A descriptive analysis was carried out on the data obtained using Microsoft excel and SPSS software. A parametric
141 or non-parametric test was performed depending upon the nature of sampling distribution and the satisfaction of
142 basic assumptions of the tests. One way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test were used to find the significant differences
143 among various samples in a group or among groups. Linear regression was used to find the relationship between
144 mean lymph node weight stimulation index and mean cellularity index for all the groups. The results were
145 expressed as Mean \pm Standard Error and the p value was calculated at 95 % confidence interval i.e., $p < 0.05$.

146 13 IV.

147 14 Results

148 15 a) Immunogenic effect of spermatozoa in normal saline

149 Irrespective of the boar, the overall mean lymph node weight stimulation index value for the four samples declined
150 from rete testis towards the corpus epididymidis before it increased to maximum for the cauda epididymidis (Table
151 ??).

16 Volume XV Issue 1 Version I Year 2015

Table ?? : The mean (\pm SEM) lymph node weight stimulation index of murine popliteal lymph nodes stimulated by porcine spermatozoa from the rete testis, caput epididymidis, corpus epididymidis and caudaepididymidis in five groups

Similarly, looking into the cellularity index values (Table ??I), the mean cellularity index increased from the corpus epididymidis to the cauda epididymidis.

However, unlike the weight stimulation index values, the cellularity index values for caput epididymidis was higher than the cellularity index values for the rete testis.

Table ?? : The mean (\pm SEM) cellularity index of murine popliteal lymph nodes stimulated by porcine spermatozoa from the rete testis, caput epididymidis, corpus epididymidis and caudaepididymidis in five groups
b) Immunogenic effect of spermatozoa in seminal fluid Irrespective of the boar, the overall mean for the lymph node weight stimulation index was almost the same for the four samples of spermatozoa in seminal fluid (Table ??). Still, the highest mean lymph node stimulation index is seminal fluid groups was observed for spermatozoa from the caput epididymidis and the minimum was for spermatozoa from the corpus epididymidis.

The mean cellularity index among spermatozoa in seminal fluid groups decreased from the rete testis to the corpus epididymidis before increasing again for the cauda epididymidis (Table ??I).

17 c) Immunogenic effect of spermatozoa incubated in seminal fluid

In contrast to all the previous findings, the lymph node weight stimulation index was least for the cauda epididymidis (Table ?? (D D D D) G

On analyzing the mean values for cellularity index in case of four samples of spermatozoa incubated in seminal fluid (Table ??I), we found that the mean cellularity index was almost same for all the four samples. d) Immunogenic effect of seminal fluid Seminal plasma from six boars was used to test the immunogenic effect of seminal plasma alone compared to saline controls.

The mean lymph node weight stimulation index value of seminal plasma alone was higher than for spermatozoa suspended in the normal saline and for spermatozoa incubated in the seminal fluid but lower than for spermatozoa suspended in the seminal fluid (Table ??). The mean cellularity index value also followed the same pattern (Table ??I). e) Immunogenic effect of spermatozoa in secondary immune response group Irrespective of the boar, the overall mean for the lymph node weight stimulation index among secondary immune response groups (Table ??) was least for the rete testis and increased to highest for the cauda epididymidis.

The mean cellularity index followed the same trend as the mean lymph node stimulation index (Table ??I) except that corpus epididymidis had lower mean cellularity index value than caput epididymidis.

In all of the above experiments, few findings were similar:

a) The popliteal lymph node weight stimulation index and cellularity index were highly variable for spermatozoa from rete testis but variance was least in case of the spermatozoa from cauda epididymidis being almost half of the rete testis. b) A positive relationship can be seen between the mean lymph node weight stimulation index and mean cellularity index indicating that the samples with a higher popliteal lymph node weight index also have higher cellularity index.

V.

18 Discussion

The results from the normal saline group suggest maximum immunogenicity of the caudal epididymal spermatozoa and least of the corpus epididymal spermatozoa among 4 groups. The immunogenicity of spermatozoa seems to decrease from the rete testis to corpus epididymidis before increasing for cauda epididymidis which is evident by the mean lymph node weight stimulation index as well as the mean cellularity index. The highly variable immunogenicity of spermatozoa taken from the rete testis indicates that some factors in the process of formation of spermatozoa in testis also determine the immunogenic trait of spermatozoa and this needs further evaluation. It is also clear that the groups with higher lymph node stimulation index also have a higher cellularity index. Some workers however have described the cellularity index attribute as more sensitive, informative and accurate than lymph node stimulation index ??8-30. The role of seminal fluid as an immunosuppressive agent to spermatozoa has been described by many workers in the past 11,15,17,18,20,22,31 . But the extent to which seminal fluid is responsible for the overall immunosuppressive effect on spermatozoa among many other probable factors has not been described before. The increase in the mean lymph node weight stimulation index from the corpus epididymidis to cauda epididymidis again confirms greater immunogenicity of spermatozoa in the cauda epididymidis. The seminal fluid alone does not seem to have any immunosuppressive effect which is clear from the results obtained. Instead, the results suggest that the seminal fluid is responsible for the increase in immunogenicity of spermatozoa.

The higher variability for rete testis spermatozoa further indicates that some factors involved in the formation of spermatozoa are responsible for variable immunogenicity. As these spermatozoa moves from the rete testis towards the cauda epididymidis, the immunogenicity seems to decrease initially until the corpus epididymidis and then it again increases for the cauda epididymidis. One possible cause for this increase might be the metabolic

212 activities that are taking place in spermatozoa while stored in the cauda epididymidis temporarily 32 change
213 the antigenic proteins on the surface of spermatozoa during storage ??2-36. Immunosuppressive fractions of
214 seminal fluid have already been isolated before by some of the workers and their immunosuppressive effect on
215 spermatozoa has been demonstrated 12 . The effect of incubation on spermatozoa is immunosuppressive which
216 is evident from the results obtained. But the values are slightly higher for each location than the normal saline
217 group indicating the residual immunogenic effect of seminal proteins even after two washings with normal saline.
218 However, the values were much lower than for spermatozoa suspended in seminal fluid indicating that two
219 washings of spermatozoa in normal saline removed most of the adherent antigenic seminal proteins.

220 The results obtained show a high variance value for the caput epididymidis for the spermatozoa incubated in
221 seminal fluid. But the variance for other rete testis and caput epididymidis followed by a progressive decrease
222 towards the corpus epididymidis and the cauda epididymidis.

223 sites is less following the same decreasing trend from the rete testis to the cauda epididymidis. This perhaps
224 indicates that spermatozoa with highly variable immunogenicity in the rete testis acquire almost the

225 19 Volume XV Issue 1 Version I

226 Year 2015 same immunogenicity level while stored in the cauda epididymidis though lower than the rete testis
227 and caput epididymidis but higher than the corpus epididymidis. The spermatozoon after incubation in seminal
228 fluid has the least immunogenicity for the cauda epididymidis suggesting that caudal spermatozoa loses maximum
229 immunogenicity, more than corpus spermatozoa in seminal fluid.

230 The seminal fluid alone seems to be more immunogenic than spermatozoa in normal saline and spermatozoa
231 incubated in seminal fluid by both the mean lymph node weight stimulation index and mean cellularity index.
232 Conversely, the seminal fluid alone is less immunogenic than spermatozoa suspended in the seminal fluid. This
233 could probably be due to the additive effect of immunogenicity of spermatozoa on the immunogenicity of seminal
234 fluid. Since the spermatozoa incubated in seminal fluid are less immunogenic than seminal fluid alone, it
235 indicates that the twice washing with normal saline has probably eliminated most of the immunogenic proteins of
236 seminal fluid. Spermatozoa left after incubation and washed with normal saline were less immunogenic than the
237 spermatozoa in seminal fluid possibly due to the immunosuppressive effect of some of the components of seminal
238 fluid on spermatozoa during incubation.

239 The secondary immune response could be important for determining the fertility in both males and females.
240 This is because after the first few intercourses, the predominant immune response in females with only one male
241 partner will be the secondary immune response. On the other hand, the primary immune response could be
242 important for the animals with multiple partners. The results obtained for the secondary immune response are
243 contrary to earlier results in terms of the mean lymph node weight stimulation index and mean cellularity index.
244 The immunogenicity of spermatozoa increases from the rete testis to cauda epididymidis; however the highest
245 immunogenicity is for the spermatozoa from caput epididymidis than the spermatozoa from rete testis. However,
246 the results obtained for secondary immune response were not statistically significant and also there was no linear
247 relationship observed between the lymph node weight stimulation index and cellularity index. In addition, a
248 lower immunogenic response was seen for secondary immune response than for spermatozoa in normal saline and
249 seminal fluid. This was probably due to the occurrence of peak immunogenic response in mice at earlier than
250 eighth day so that on the eighth day, the immune response was in the decline phase.

251 Overall, it is clear that the mean lymph node weight stimulation index and mean cellularity index among five
252 groups are in the following order: Spermatozoa in seminal fluid group > seminal fluid only group > normal saline
253 group > incubated seminal fluid group ? secondary immune response VI.

254 20 Concluding Remarks

255 Our study is the first evidence to suggest that there is a well-developed mechanism in the male reproductive tract
256 to suppress the antigenicity of spermatozoa before ejaculation. This is also the first instance when an effort has
257 been made to determine the immunogenicity of spermatozoa in different parts of the testes and epididymis. While
258 higher values for the spermatozoa in seminal fluid group could probably be due to additive effect of antigenicity
259 of seminal proteins and spermatozoon surface proteins, the higher value for the seminal fluid only group could
260 be due to the antigenic effect of only seminal proteins. Similarly, the marginally higher values for spermatozoa
261 incubated in seminal fluid could be due to the residual immunogenic effect of seminal proteins along with the
262 immunogenic effect of spermatozoon surface proteins. Finally, the lowest value for secondary immune response
263 group among all samples could probably be due to the initiation of immunogenic mechanism and recovery phase
264 at the earlier stage than in the primary immune response. Although, decrease in the antigenicity of spermatozoa
265 is evident in the male reproductive tract, substantial evidence are still required to confirm the hypothesis that
266 seminal and spermatozoa surface proteins play a role in this process.

267 **21 VII.**

268 **22 Future Aspects**

269 Further studies are required for determining the type and the strength of immune response in females to
270 spermatozoa during both primary and secondary immune response, the role of humoral and cellular immune
271 system during this process and the factors responsible for altering the immunogenicity of spermatozoa in female
272 reproductive tract. In addition, more studies are required to completely understand the immunogenicity of
273 spermatozoa and its variability as it moves from the cauda epididymidis to the exterior at ejaculation. These
274 studies may play an important role in understanding the exact role of immunological response to spermatozoa
275 on fertility in mammals.

276 **23 VIII. Conflict of Interest Statement**

277 This research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed
as a potential conflict of interest. ^{1 2}



Figure 1:

Year 2015

Figure 2: T

278

¹© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US) ()

²© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Site	N	Mean±SEM	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Variance
RT+NS	10	2.3965± 0.26724	0.89	3.4		
CPT+NS	10	2.1699± 0.21106	1.13	3.3		
CPS+NS	10	1.8752± 0.25619	0.77	3.23		
CDA+NS	10	2.4773± 0.19306	1.66	3.54		
RT+SF	7	3.3131 ± 0.51335	2.12	6.28		
CPT+SF	7	3.3629 ± 0.46752	2.14	5.89		
CPS+SF	7	3.0621 ± 0.63132	1.5	6.3		
CDA+SF	7	3.1139 ± 0.44144	1.7	4.88		
RT+ISF	5	2.1094 ± 0.20466	1.48	2.75		
CPT+ISF	5	2.3892 ± 0.80524	1.1	5.56		
CPS+ISF	5	1.7604 ± 0.26991	0.93	2.54		
CDA+ISF	5	1.5972 ± 0.14358	1.06	1.88		
RT(SIR)	3	1.6190 ± 0.31072	1.11	2.18		
CPT(SIR)	N 4	1.7748 ± 0.19161	1.45	1.05	1 1.54	Minimum Maximum 2.27 2.16 3.23 4.5
CPS(SIR)	4	0.24145 1.9650 ± 0.47160				
CDA(SIR)	4	2.8367 ± 0.39930				
NS and SF	6	SEM				

RT+NS	10	25.3610 ± 4.04052	7.47	50.39
CPT+NS	10	26.0980 ± 4.46078	11.07	50.63
CPS+NS	10	18.9400 ± 3.12247	5.99	34.52
CDA+NS	10	24.1870 ± 3.53647	12.03	45.28
RT+SF	7	40.3614 ± 10.6637	20.07	102.46
CPT+SF	7	39.8729 ± 7.2426	21.86	68.63
CPS+SF	7	33.9429 ± 4.37092	19.05	52.88
CDA+SF	7	36.1857 ± 10.39975	11.98	94.72
RT+ISF	5	19.152 ± 3.40075	10.93	31.42
CPT+ISF	5	20.0760 ± 4.40577	9.18	32.24
CPS+ISF	5	19.0460 ± 2.50037	10.55	23.95
CDA+ISF	5	20.8120 ± 5.31957	4.65	32.57
RT(SIR)	3	14.8467 ± 0.77102	13.74	16.33
CPT(SIR)	4	17.1850 ± 2.63916	12.61	22.42
CPS(SIR)	4	15.4825 ± 2.97052	8.96	22.12
CDA(SIR)	4	21.2275 ± 5.13919	12.8	36.17
NS and SF	6	33.385 ± 4.76468	14.19	44.86

[Note: © 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US) Year 2015]

Figure 3:

-
- 279 [Descotes et al. ()] , J Descotes , C Patriarca , Vial Tverdier . *Toxicology* 1996. 1997. 119 (1) p. .
- 280 [Alexander NJAnderson DJ: Immunology of semen Fertil Steril ()] ‘Alexander NJAnderson DJ: Immunology of
281 semen’. *Fertil Steril* 1987. 47 (2) p. .
- 282 [Alexander ()] ‘Antibodies to human spermatozoa impede sperm penetration of cervical mucus or hamster eggs’.
283 N J Alexander . *Fertil Steril* 1984. 41 (3) p. .
- 284 [Aumuller GRiva A: Morphology and functions of the human seminal vesicle Andrologia ()] ‘Aumuller GRiva
285 A: Morphology and functions of the human seminal vesicle’. *Andrologia* 1992. 24 (4) p. .
- 286 [Clavert and Cbollack ()] ‘C: Functions of the seminal vesicle’. A Clavert , Cranz Cbollack . *Andrologia* 1990. 22
287 (1) p. . (Suppl)
- 288 [Morrow et al.] *Cooke PSHess RA: ETV5 is required for continuous spermatogenesis in adult*, C M Morrow , C
289 E Hostetler , M D Griswold , M C Hofmann , K M Murphy .
- 290 [Dostal and Veselsky ()] ‘Drahorad JJonakova V: Immunosuppressive effect induced by intraperitoneal and rectal
291 administration of boar seminal immunosuppressive factor’. J Dostal , L Veselsky . *Biol Reprod* 1995. 52 (6)
292 p. .
- 293 [Veselsky and Jzelezna ()] ‘Effect of boar seminal immunosuppressive component on humoral immune response
294 in mice’. L Veselsky , B Jzelezna . *Am J Reprod Immunol* 1997. 38 (2) p. .
- 295 [Veselsky et al. ()] ‘Effect of boar seminal immunosuppressive fraction on B lymphocytes and on primary
296 antibody response’. L Veselsky , J Dostal , V Holan , Soucek Jzelezna , B . *Biol Reprod* 1996. 55 (1) p.
297 .
- 298 [Veselsky and Jdrahorad ()] ‘Effect of intra-rectal administration of boar seminal immunosuppressive fraction on
299 mouse lymphocytes’. L Veselsky , J Jdrahorad . *J Reprod Fertil* 1994. 101 (3) p. .
- 300 [Lasarte et al. ()] ‘Female sex hormones regulate the Th17 immune response to sperm and *Candida albicans*’.
301 S Lasarte , D Elsner , M Guia-Gonzalez , R Ramos-Medina , S Sanchez-Ramon , P Esponda , M Munoz-
302 Fernandez Marelloso . *Hum Reprod* 2013. 28 (12) p. .
- 303 [Mcnamara and Van Lieshout Esimmons ()] ‘Females suffer a reduction in the viability of stored sperm following
304 an immune challenge’. K B Mcnamara , L W Van Lieshout Esimmons . *J Evol Biol* 2013.
- 305 [Gonzales ()] ‘Function of seminal vesicles and their role on male fertility’. G F Gonzales . *Asian J Androl* 2001.
306 3 (4) p. .
- 307 [Stern and Nelson ()] ‘Gibson SHColby E: Anti-sperm antibodies in female mice: responses following intrauterine
308 immunization’. J E Stern , T S Nelson . *Am J Reprod Immunol* 1994. 31 (4) p. .
- 309 [Pfedorka ()] ‘Immune activation decreases sperm viability in both sexes and influences female sperm storage’.
310 Radhakrishnan Pfedorka , KM . *Proc Biol Sci* 2012. 1742. 279 p. .
- 311 [Djtarter ()] ‘Immunosuppressive effects of mouse seminal plasma components in vivo and in vitro’. Anderson
312 Djtarter , TH . *J Immunol* 1982. 128 (2) p. .
- 313 [Kelly ()] ‘Immunosuppressive mechanisms in semen: implications for contraception’. R W Kelly . *Hum Reprod*
314 1995. 10 (7) p. .
- 315 [Grygielska et al. ()] ‘In situ reconstruction of humoral immune response against sperm: comparison of SCID and
316 NOD/SCID mouse models’. B Grygielska , M Kamieniczna , Wiland Ekurpisz , M . *Am J Reprod Immunol*
317 2009. 61 (2) p. .
- 318 [Cooper ()] ‘Interactions between epididymal secretions and spermatozoa’. T G Cooper . *J Reprod Fertil Suppl*
319 1998. 53 p. .
- 320 [Dostal and Zelezna ()] ‘Jonakova VVeselsky L: Immunolocalization of the boar seminal immunosuppressive
321 fraction infused via uterus on the lymphocytes populating mouse genital tract tissues’. J Dostal , B Zelezna
322 . *Folia Biol (Praha)* 2000. 46 (2) p. .
- 323 [Lu and Huang ()] J-C Lu , Y-Flu N-Q Huang . *Antisperm immunity and infertility*, 2008.
- 324 [Jonakova and Pticha ()] ‘M: Separation, characterization and identification of boar seminal plasma proteins’. V
325 Jonakova , Manaskova Pticha . *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 2007. 849 (1-2) p. .
- 326 [Meinhardt AHedger MP: Immunological, paracrine and endocrine aspects of testicular immune privilege Mol Cell Endocrinol ()]
327 ‘Meinhardt AHedger MP: Immunological, paracrine and endocrine aspects of testicular immune privilege’.
328 *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2011. 335 (1) p. .
- 329 [mice and may mediate blood testes barrier function and testicular immune privilege Ann N Y Acad Sci ()]
330 ‘mice and may mediate blood testes barrier function and testicular immune privilege’. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*
331 2007. 1120 p. .
- 332 [Joshi et al. ()] ‘Monoclonal antibodies to epididymis-specific proteins using mice rendered immune tolerant to
333 testicular proteins’. S A Joshi , S A Ranpura , Khan Sakhole , VV . *J Androl* 2003. 24 (4) p. .

- 334 [Gdescotes ()] 'Popliteal lymph node assay: facts and perspectives'. Ravel Gdescotes , J . *J Appl Toxicol* 2005.
335 25 (6) p. .
- 336 [Russell et al. ()] 'Posttesticular surface modifications and contributions of reproductive tract fluids to the surface
337 polypeptide composition of boar spermatozoa'. L D Russell , R N Peterson , Hunt Wstrack , LE . *Biol Reprod*
338 1984. 30 (4) p. .
- 339 [Troedsson et al. ()] 'Pozor MBuhi WC: Components in seminal plasma regulating sperm transport and
340 elimination'. M H Troedsson , A Desvousges , A S Alghamdi , B Dahms , C A Dow , J Hayna , R Valesco ,
341 P T Collahan , M L Macpherson . *Anim Reprod Sci* 2005. 89 (1-4) p. .
- 342 [Johansson et al. ()] 'SA: Semen activates the female immune response during early pregnancy in mice'. M
343 Johansson , J J Bromfield , Jasper Mjrobertson . *Immunology* 2004. 112 (2) p. .
- 344 [Robertson ()] 'Seminal fluid signaling in the female reproductive tract: lessons from rodents and pigs'. S A
345 Robertson . *J Anim Sci* 2007. 85 (13) p. . (Suppl)
- 346 [Shulman ()] 'Sperm antigens and autoantibodies: effects on fertility'. S Shulman . *Am J Reprod Immunol*
347 *Microbiol* 1986. 10 (3) p. .
- 348 [Pieters ()] 'The popliteal lymph node assay: a tool for predicting drug allergies'. R Pieters . *Toxicology* 2001.
349 158 (1-2) p. .
- 350 [Tomlinson et al. ()] 'The removal of morphologically abnormal sperm forms by phagocytes: a positive role for
351 seminal leukocytes?'. M J Tomlinson , A White , C L Barratt , I D Bolton Aecooke . *Hum Reprod* 1992. 7 (4)
352 p. .
- 353 [Holland Mknixon ()] 'The specificity of epididymal secretory proteins'. B Holland Mknixon . *J Reprod Fertil*
354 *Suppl* 1998. 53 p. .
- 355 [Jones ()] 'To store or mature spermatozoa? The primary role of the epididymis'. R C Jones . *Int J Androl* 1999.
356 22 (2) p. .
- 357 [Cheng et al. ()] 'Vankayalapati RDai Z: Interaction of programmed death-1 and programmed death-1 ligand-1
358 contributes to testicular immune privilege'. X Cheng , H Dai , N Wan , Y Moore . *Transplantation* 2009. 87
359 (12) p. .
- 360 [Dostal et al. ()] 'Zelezna BJonakova V: Inhibition of bacterial and boar epididymal sperm immunogenicity by
361 boar seminal immunosuppressive component in mice'. J Dostal , L Veselsky , M Marounek . *J Reprod Fertil*
362 1997. 111 (1) p. .