Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors: Response Evaluation Criteria
2	of CHOI through Computed Tomography
3	Elizabeth Blanco Sixtos-Elizabeth ¹
4	¹ HOSPITAL DE ONCOLOGIA IMSS SIGLO XXI.
5	Received: 10 April 2015 Accepted: 5 May 2015 Published: 15 May 2015
6	

7 Abstract

A CT scan is a tool that has been demonstrated to be optimal for response evaluation in
gastrointestinal stroma tumors that undergo targeted therapy. In this study, response and
evaluation of gastrointestinal stroma tumors were compared with the use of targeted therapy
according to CHOI criteria in Oncology Siglo XXI Hospital patients. Materials and Methods:
A retrospective study from January 2009 to January 2014 in patients with a confirmed
diagnosis with access to CT scan; a response to the treatment was observed according to
CHOI criteria. Results: A total of 31 patients were enrolled in this study, 61

15

16 Index terms— GIST (gastrointestinal stromal tumor), RECIST 1.1, response evaluation choi criteria, 17 computed tomography.

18 **1** I. Introduction

reatment responses for the assessment of tumors performed with CT scans were initially assessed only according to RECIST criteria; however, it was not useful for the evaluation of gastrointestinal stroma tumors because the size of the tumor was not the only characteristic.

The biggest correlation in response is based on a reduction in density measured in Housfield units. This 22 measurement is correlated with the tumoral necrosis and cistic or myxoid deterioration. 1 Initially, Choi et. al 23 proposed a response criteria, in which size and density were the elements for assessing the responses to treatment. 24 In some cases, the size of the tumor can increase due to a side effect of the development of an intratumoral 25 hemorrhage or myxoid deterioration. 2,3 II. Targeted Therapies Selective inhibitor tyrosine kinase agents are 26 employed for the treatment of GIST (molecular targeted therapy) that specifically acts in biomolecular changes 27 that onset the disease and that exclusively targets the tumural cells. The use of this treatment has allowed a 28 5 year increase in up to 43% of the patients with this metastatic disease. 4.5 The protocol for GIST treatment 29 at IMSS (Mexican Social Security Institute) UMAE CMN Siglo XXI is based on the histological grading of 30 malignancy according to its mitotic index. The first-line medication treatment used post-surgery is Imatinib 31 Mesylate, which acts through specific inhibition of the enzyme tyrosine kinase. A 400mg to 800mg dose is 32 administered; depending in the histological grade, there is a one year follow-up for mild cases and up to three 33 years for severe cases. If there are any indications of progression of the disease observed through imaging methods, 34 or clinically observed side effects due to the medication, secondline Sunitinib is then administered. 35

³⁶ 2 III. Assessment through Imaging

Computed tomography (CT), is the imaging method of choice for the diagnosis, staging, monitoring and assessment to treatment response of the GIST; 5 cm tumors are identified as large tumors, well-defined, heterogeneous, and exophytic component or with a polypoid intraluminal. The central portion may contain tumor areas of lower attenuation secondary to necrosis, hemorrhage and cystic degeneration; the presence of calcifications is unusual. 6,7,8 Malignant GISTs are large and well defined (86 %), with heterogeneous soft tissue of low density and necrotic center. They frequently come from the wall of the stomach or small intestine. The

attenuation by liquid or central necrosis occurs in approximately 67% of the cases. 9,10 The period for follow-up 43 in patients who have GIST may be modified by variables such as if received surgical treatment (neoadjuvant or 44 adjuvant), 11 presences of metastatic disease (liver, peritoneum and other sites) and changes in treatment (for 45 adverse effects to the medication or the progression of the disease). High-risk patients are evaluated from 1 to 2 46 years at the end of the adjuvant therapy and low-risk patients can have greater intervals of evaluation. 12 The 47 group of sarcomas in Europe suggested to routinely assess every 3-6 months during adjuvant therapy in the first 48 year and on an annual basis in the following 5 years. Patients with GIST of low risk can be evaluated every 6-12 49 months by for a period of five years. 13 50

⁵¹ 3 Table 1 : Comparative table between RECIST and CHOI ⁵² criteria

There are no studies reported in the literature on the experience of the Oncology Hospital Siglo XXI that include criteria CHOI as a basis for monitoring response to molecular targeted therapy. Some authors include in their studies specific criteria such as tumor size, histological grade and track interval once white therapy has begun.

It is therefore important for the Medical Oncologist to know the significance of the tomographic reportfor follow-up of patients with gastrointestinal tumors. The objective of this study was to describe with CTs the response to the treatment of GIST in patients from the Oncology Hospital of twenty-first century, using the criteria of CHOI.

⁶⁰ 4 IV. Materials and Methods

A retrospective study from January 2009 to January 2014. The patients included in the study had to have
a confirmed diagnosis of GIST by histopathology; a CT scan performed in the oncology service at the IMSS,
with realization of three-phase protocol (arterial, venous and portal); treatment of white therapy (Imatinib or
Sunitinib) and a baseline and follow-up CT. Simple frequency and dispersion measurements were taken through

65 the program SPSS.

⁶⁶ 5 V. Results

From 2009 to 2014 31 cases were obtained with the inclusion criteria mentioned, of whom 54.8 % were women 67 and 45.2 % were men with a median age of 57 years (range 36 to 84 years); all with a study of abdominal 68 computed tomography. The organs affected by GIST were 51.6 % (16) stomach, 22.6 % (7) jejunum, 12.9 % (4) 69 rectum, 6.5 % (2) duodenum, 3.2 % (1) peritoneum and 3.2 % (1) retroperitoneum (Table 2). 32.3 % (10) of 70 the patients were surgically treated before starting with Imatinib. 67.7 % received first-line molecular targeted 71 therapy with Imatinib, and 32.3 % received second line with Sunitinib. We assessed the response to treatment 72 with a CT scan in an average of 1 to 24 months. According to the criteria of CHOI, we observed 45.2 % (14) 73 complete response, 19.4 (5) stable disease, 19.4 (6) partial response and 16.1 % (5) disease progression (Table 74 3) Figures 1,2, 3. During that time, metastatic activity was observed in 14 patients representing a 45.1 %, with 75 predominant involvement in the liver with a percentage of 35.5 % of the total (Table 4) Figure 4. 76

77 6 VI. Discussion

Neither the time of survival nor the histologic grade of malignancy was considered in our review as Toyokawa et 78 al recommends. 12 A prospective study would consider the survival. In recent publications the partial answer 79 is the predominant result when evaluating with criteria of CHOI, which does not differ with the gains of the 80 81 study. ??2,13. The length of time of follow-up was from 6 months. In patients undergoing surgery, the period of follow-up was one year. It was noted that the progression of the disease was determined by the stage at the time 82 of diagnosis and the location that made the unresectable tumor. The molecular targeted therapy in patients with 83 liver hypotascular metastases areas remained as stable disease or partial response to treatment, with a similar 84 percentage to what is reported in the literature. The follow-up every 4-6 months the first two years and annual 85 the following years were a period of time made on average. 86 The organy most frequently affected (stomach) and the most frequent metastatic disease (liver) is similar to 87

that reported in the literature.

⁸⁹ 7 VII. Conclusions

It is necessary as radiologists to become familiar with the existence of white therapies and criteria for evaluation of response, to achieve the appropriate integration in the multidisciplinary management. CT scans allows us to evaluate the response to white therapy in patients with GIST using the criteria of CHOI. It also allows us to identify the primary tumor, stage of the disease and detect metastatic diseases. The criteria of CHOI reported by CT help the clinician with the patient management, decision for surgical treatment, grant white therapy, to change white therapy line and prognosis. GIST requires a tomographic assessment using criteria of CHOI, with a period of time as a minimum of 6 months.



Figure 1: Figure 1 :



Figure 2: Figure 2 :



Figure 3: Figure 3 :




Figure 4: Figure 4 :

 $\mathbf{2}$

Criteria Complete	Disappearance of all target lesions	СНОІ	RESIST 1.1 Disappearance of all target lesions
Response	No new lesions		No new lesions
-	Decrease $?10\%$ in the sum of the LD or shrinkage $?15\%$ in		
	Hounsfield Units (tumoral density).		Decrease ? 30% in
			the sum of the
Partial	No evidence of new lesions		LD of target lesions
Response			
	Neither sufficient shrinkage to qualify for PR no	or sufficient	
Stable	Increase to qualify for PD		Neither PRnor PD
Disease			
Increase in size $?10\%$ with no criteria in Partial Response of the			
_	tumor density		-
Progressive	e Appearance of new lesions, intramural nodules,	increase in	Increase ? 20% in
			the sum of the
	Disease existing nodules or tilissue increase in a hypodense lesion		LD of target lesions
Affected O	rgan	Number	Percentage
		of	
		Pa-	
		tients	
	Stomach	16	51.6
	Jejunum	7	22.6
	Rectum	4	12.9
	Duodenum	2	6.5
_	Peritoneum	1	3.2
Retroperite		1	3.2
	Total	31	100%

Figure 5: Table 2 :

3

Responses according to CHOI criteria	Number Patients	of	Percentage
SD	6		19.4
PD	5		16.1
PR	6		19.4
CR	14		45.2
Total	31		100~%

Figure 6: Table 3 :

 $\mathbf{4}$

Site of metastases	Number	Percentage
Peritoneum	1	3.2
Liver	11	35.5
Retroperitoneum	1	3.2
Uterus	1	3.2
Total	14	45.1

Figure 7: Table 4 :

- ⁹⁷ [Toyokawa et al. (2014)] Clinical experience of Imatinib mesylate for metastatic or recurrente gastrointestinal
 ⁹⁸ stromal tumor, T Toyokawa, Y Yamashita, A Yamamoto. 2014 Jan. Japanese. 41 p. .
- ⁹⁹ [Choi et al. ()] 'Correlation of computed tomography and positron emission tomography in patients with
 metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor treated at a single institution with imatinib mesylate: proposal of
 new computed tomography response criteria'. H Choi , C Charnsangavej , S C Faria . J Clin Oncol 2007. 25
 p. .
- [Choi (2007)] 'Correlation of Computed Tomography and Positron Emission Tomography in Patients With
 Metastatic Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor Treated at a Single Institution With Imatinib Mesylate: Proposal
 of New Computed Tomography Response Criteria'. Haesun Choi . Journal of clinical Oncology May 1. 2007.
 25 p. .
- [Levy et al. ()] 'From the Archives of the AFIP Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors: Radiologic Features with
 Pathologic Correlation'. A D Levy , H E Remotti , W M Thompson , L H Sobin , M Miettinen . *RadioGraphics* 2003. 23 p. .
- 110 [Rabin et al. ()] 'Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors: A 19 Year Experience'. I Rabin , B Chikman , R Lavy . 111 IMAJ 2009. 12 (11) p..
- [Nowain et al. ()] 'Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: clinical profile, pathogenesis, treatment strategies and
 prognosis'. A Nowain , H Bhakta , S Pais . J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005. 20 (6) p. .
- [Bensimhon et al. ()] 'Imaging Of Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors'. D Bensimhon , P Soyer , M Boudiaf , Cols
 Journal de Radiologie 2009. 90 (4) p. .
- [Druker and Lydon ()] 'Lessons learned from the development of an Abl tyrosine kinase inhibitor for chronic
 myelogenous leukemia'. B J Druker , N B Lydon . J Clin Invest 2000. 105 p. .
- [Medrano et al.] G Medrano , V Torres , R González . Características clínicas de los tumores del estroma
 gastrointestinal. Cirujano general, 30 p. .
- [Corless and Heinrich ()] 'Molecular pathobiology of gastrointestinal stromal sarcomas'. C L Corless , M C
 Heinrich . Annu Rev Pathol 2008. 3 p. .
- [Hui et al. ()] 'Pronosticanalysis of patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors: a single unit experience with
 surgical treatment of primary disease'. C Hui , Z Yun , MingW , Dan-Ping Sh . Chinese Medical Journal
 2010. 132 (2) p. .
- [Muñoz et al. ()] 'Tumores del estroma gastrointestinal (GIST): Revisión de la literatura'. T C Muñoz , T S
 Sabah , R A Navarro , Cols . Gastroenterología Latinoamericana 2006. 17 (1) p. .
- 127 [Pérez-Morales et al. ()] 'Tumores del estroma gastrointestinal. Presentación de una serie de tres casos de
 128 localización gástrica'. A G Pérez-Morales , I Z Ruiz-Juárez , D F Roesch , Cols . Cirujano General 2007. 29
 129 (1) p. .